

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/659,225	09/10/2003	Jason Clay Pearson	71593	5733
7590 05/24/2006			EXAMINER	
Bernard J. Graves, Jr.			SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE	
Eastman Chemical Company P.O. Box 511			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			1714	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	t-
Office Action Summary		10/659,225	PEARSON ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	 -
	<u></u>	Kriellion A. Sanders	1714	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status		•		
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	arch 2006.		•
		action is non-final.		•
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merit	is is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 44-77 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 44-52,54-58, 60-64,7		m consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>53,59,65-69 and 71</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	•	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		-	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	t
+ 0	application from the International Bureau	` ` ' ' '		
^ E	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
_	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (F10-152)	

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 53, 59, 65-69 and 71 are said to read upon the elected invention. Claims 44-52, 54-58, 60-64, 70 and 72-77 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 53, 59, 66 and 71 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being clearly anticipated over Mogami et al, US Patent No. 5,684,071.

Mogami et al discloses polyester compositions including barbituric acid and derivatives. See col. 3, line 50 through col. 4, line 35. Colorants and UV absorbers may also be included. See col. 12, line 61 through col. 13, line 3.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicat argues that Mogami et al does not disclose barbituric acid. This argument

Application/Control Number: 10/659,225

Art Unit: 1714.

is not persuasive because Mogami et al discloses that pyrimidine derivatives may be used. Barbituric acid is also known as 2, 4, 6, trioxypyrimidine and 2, 4, 6, (1H,3H,5H,)-pyrimidinetrione. Clearly barbituric acid is a derivative of pyrimidine. Applicant's argument that the formula II at col. 4 of the patent should possess N-R₅ instead of C-R₅ bonds is unsubstantiated. Applicant has no basis to allege that patentee intended the carbon ring atoms to be nitrogen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 65-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogami et al. as applied to claims 53, 59 and 66 above and further in view of Sargeant et al, US Patent No. 6,5934,06 and Igrashi et al, US Patent No. 4,837,115.

Antimony compounds are included at col. 7, line 65 through col. 8, line 9 and at col. 12, lines 61-67 of Mogami et al. Conventional U.V. absorbers are employed in combination with HALS as is documented by Sargeant et al. Sargeant discloses that radical scavenging Hindered Amine Light Stabilizer (HALS) are used together with UV absorbers to protect plastic materials. HALS works in a different way than UVA. When some UV light is not absorbed, free radicals that cause polymer degradation will be produced. HALS will react with these free radicals and

Application/Control Number: 10/659,225

Art Unit: 1714

prevent further degradation by these radicals. The HALS used should meet all the above requirements for UVA (high thermal stability, low volatility, low or no color and compatibility with the polymer). See col. 8, lines 9-32.

Igrashi et al. documents that amino- group-containing compounds may be used to scavenge acetaldehyde in polyester compositions. The barbituric acids and the derivatives thereof of Mogami et al are considered to have inherent acetaldehyde reducing properties by virtue of the amine groups present on the compounds.

Colorants and UV absorbers may be included in these compositions. See col. 6, line 56 through col. 8, line 45. Incorporation of a conventional "post consumer recycled material" as opposed to a virgin material to reduce production costs would have been an obvious variation to the art-skilled at the time of this invention. Likewise, the addition of HALS to provide additional light stability to the Mogami et al compositions in a capacity that is synergistic or additive to the UVAs used there in, would have also been obvious to the art-skilled.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the incorporation of a conventional "post consumer recycled material" to

Art Unit: 1714

reduce production costs or the addition of a HALS to provide additional light stability to the Mogami et al compositions, in a capacity that is synergistic or additive to the UVAs used there in, would have also been obvious to the art-skilled.

Page 5

Information Disclosure Statement

Prior art cited on form 1449 must include a month and year of publication to be fully considered.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714